

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,935	08/27/2003	Rens Hansort	092301-9007	4109
23510	7590 04/18/2005		EXAM	INER
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP ONE SOUTH PINCKNEY STREET			GLESSNER	., BRIAN E
P O BOX 1806 MADISON, WI 53701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3635		

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/648,935	HANSORT, RENS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian E. Glessner	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	June 2004					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	,—					
*	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	1/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	- ·	• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Copies of the priority docume	•	•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties from the letternational Russ	=	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	received				
oss the attached detailed office detail for a li	ist of the contined copies not t	33370 u .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9&14/04 12/8/@3 	5) ∐ Notice of Int 6) ∑ Other: <u>477</u>	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) [ACA MENT of Fix 15				

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Francis, III et al. (6,729,079) in view of Hoyer (4,655,015).

In regard to claims 1-19, Francis discloses a concrete anchor comprising a bar having a top, a bottom, an upper end, a lower end, a first side, a second side, a front face, a rear face, a first aperture, and a second aperture. The top of the bar further comprises a first apex section, a first upwardly projecting face, a top platform section, a second upwardly projecting face, and a second apex section, all of which define an attachment region of the anchor. The bottom of the bar further comprises a first upwardly projecting face, a bottom platform section, and a second upwardly projecting face, figures 15-23. Francis does not specifically disclose that the bar has a frontward protruding curved section and a rearward protruding curved section, the frontward protruding curved section and the rearward protruding curved section positioned to define a curved profile in the bar or that the curved section has the features of claims 6-10. Hoyer teaches that it is known to place a curved section in an anchoring bar. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an S-shaped bend, or first and second curved section, in

Francis' bar, wherein the two curved sections have the same radius of curvature, width, and thickness as the remaining part of the bar, and define a shear-resistant region, because, as taught by Hoyer, the curved section will prevent the anchor tie from tearing out of the concrete while the concrete element is being moved, and the curved section ensures a large breakout cone such that considerable forces may be withstood, abstract. Although Hoyer only teaches one bend, it would have been obvious to use a double bend, because the two bends will provide the same function as a single bend. Further, the duplication of parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Duncan.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Glessner whose telephone number is 571-272-6843 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,935

Art Unit: 3635

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Glessner Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635

B.G. April 11, 2005